Introduction to Machine Learning

Regularization Perspectives on Ridge Regression (Deep-Dive)

× 0 0 × × ×



Learning goals

- Interpretation of L2 regularization as row-augmentation
- Interpretation of L2 regularization as minimizing risk under feature noise

PERSPECTIVES ON L2 REGULARIZATION

We already saw two interpretations of L2 regularization.

• We know that it is equivalent to a constrained optimization problem:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}$$

For some *t* depending on λ this is equivalent to:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \right)^2 \, \mathsf{s.t.} \, \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 \leq t$$

• Bayesian interpretation of ridge regression: For additive Gaussian errors $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ and i.i.d. normal priors $\theta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2)$, the resulting MAP estimate is $\hat{\theta}_{ridge}$ with $\lambda = \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2}$:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{MAP}} = \arg\max_{\theta} \log[p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \theta)p(\theta)] = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - \theta^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}\right)^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2}$$

 $\times \times$

L2 AND ROW-AUGMENTATION

We can also recover the ridge estimator by performing least-squares on a **row-augmented** data set: Let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{I}_p \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0}_p \end{pmatrix}$.

With the augmented data, the unreg. least-squares solution $\tilde{\theta}$ is:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \left(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^{2} \\ &= \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(0 - \sqrt{\lambda} \theta_{j} \right)^{2} \\ &= \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

 $\implies \hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}$ is the least-squares solution $\tilde{\theta}$ but using $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ instead of $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}!$

This is a sometimes useful "recasting" or "rewriting" for ridge.



L2 AND NOISY FEATURES

Now consider perturbed features $\tilde{x}^{(i)} := \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \delta^{(i)}$ where $\delta^{(i)} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (\mathbf{0}, \lambda \mathbf{I}_p)$. We assume no specifc distribution. Now minimize risk with L2 loss, we define it slightly different than usual, as here our data $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$, $y^{(i)}$ are fixed, but we integrate over the random permutations δ :

$$\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)})^{2} \Big] = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)}))^{2} \Big] \Big| \text{ expand}$$
$$\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})^{2} - 2\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)^{\top}} \boldsymbol{\theta}) \Big]$$
By linearity of expectation, $\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} [\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)}] = \mathbf{0}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} [\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)^{\top}}] = \lambda I_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$, this is
$$\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})^{2} - 2\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} [\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)}] (\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} [\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(i)^{\top}}] \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}-\boldsymbol{ heta}^{ op}\mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{2}+\lambda\|\boldsymbol{ heta}\|_{2}^{2}$$

 \implies Ridge regression on unperturbed features $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ turns out to be the same as minimizing squared loss averaged over feature noise distribution!

× × 0 × × ×