## **Introduction to Machine Learning**

# **Regularization Ridge Regression**





#### **Learning goals**

- **•** Regularized linear model
- **•** Ridge regression / L2 penalty
- Understand parameter shrinkage
- Understand correspondence to constrained optimization

#### **REGULARIZATION IN LM**

- Can also overfit if *p* large and *n* small(er)
- OLS estimator requires full-rank design matrix
- For highly correlated features, OLS becomes sensitive to random errors in response, results in large variance in fit
- We now add a complexity penalty to the loss:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{reg}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^2 + \lambda \cdot J(\boldsymbol{\theta}).
$$

 $\overline{\mathsf{X}}$ 

Intuitive measure of model complexity is deviation from 0-origin; coeffs then have no or a weak effect. So we measure  $J(\theta)$  through a vector norm, shrinking coeffs closer to 0.

$$
\hat{\theta}_{\text{ridge}} = \underset{\theta}{\arg \min} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y^{(i)} - \theta^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_{j}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \underset{\theta}{\arg \min} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\theta\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Can still analytically solve this:

$$
\hat{\theta}_{\text{ridge}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}
$$

Name: We add pos. entries along the diagonal "ridge" of **X** *<sup>T</sup>***X**

© Introduction to Machine Learning – 2 / 10

 $\times$   $\times$ 

Let  $y = 3x_1 - 2x_2 + \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$ . The true minimizer is  $\theta^* = (3,-2)^{\textit{T}}$ , with  $\hat{\theta}_\text{ridge} = \argmin_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2.$ 

Effect of L2 Regularization on Linear Model Solutions



 $\times$   $\times$ 

With increasing regularization,  $\hat{\theta}_{\mathit{ridge}}$  is pulled back to the origin (contour lines show unregularized objective).

Contours of regularized objective for different  $\lambda$  values.  $\hat{\theta}_{\sf ridge} = \text{arg} \, \sf{min}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \, \Vert \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\theta} \Vert^2 + \lambda \Vert \boldsymbol{\theta} \Vert^2.$ 



 $\times$   $\times$ 

Green = true coefs of the DGP and red = ridge solution.

We understand the geometry of these 2 mixed components in our regularized risk objective much better, if we formulate the optimization as a constrained problem (see this as Lagrange multipliers in reverse).



 $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 

NB: There is a bijective relationship between  $\lambda$  and  $t: \lambda \uparrow \Rightarrow t \downarrow$  and vice versa.



- **•** Inside constraints perspective: From origin, jump from contour line to contour line (better) until you become infeasible, stop before.
- $\bullet$  We still optimize the  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}}(\theta)$ , but cannot leave a ball around the origin.
- $\bullet$   $\mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}}(\theta)$  grows monotonically if we move away from  $\hat{\theta}$  (elliptic contours).
- Solution path moves from origin to border of feasible region with minimal *L*<sup>2</sup> distance.



- Outside constraints perspective: From  $\hat{\theta}$ , jump from contour line to contour line (worse) until you become feasible, stop then.
- $\bullet$  So our new optimum will lie on the boundary of that ball.
- **•** Solution path moves from unregularized estimate to feasible region of regularized objective with minimal *L*<sup>2</sup> distance.

X  $\times$   $\times$ 



- **•** Here we can see entire solution path for ridge regression
- Cyan contours indicate feasible regions induced by different  $\lambda$ s
- Red contour lines indicate different levels of the unreg. objective
- Ridge solution (red points) gets pulled toward origin for increasing  $\lambda$

X  $\times$   $\times$ 

#### **EXAMPLE: POLYNOMIAL RIDGE REGRESSION**

Consider  $y = f(x) + \epsilon$  where the true (unknown) function is  $f(x) = 5 + 2x + 10x^2 - 2x^3$  (in red).

Let's use a *d*th-order polynomial

$$
f(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x + \cdots + \theta_d x^d = \sum_{j=0}^d \theta_j x^j
$$

Х  $\times$   $\times$ 

Using model complexity  $d = 10$  overfits:



.

### **EXAMPLE: POLYNOMIAL RIDGE REGRESSION / 2**

With an *L*2 penalty we can now select *d* "too large" but regularize our model by shrinking its coefficients. Otherwise we have to optimize over the discrete *d*.



X X X