Introduction to Machine Learning

Regularization Perspectives on Ridge Regression (Deep-Dive)

× < 0 × × ×



Learning goals

• Bias-Variance trade-off for ridge regression

BIAS-VARIANCE DECOMPOSITION FOR RIDGE

For a linear model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ with fixed design $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim (\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_n)$, bias of ridge estimator $\hat{\theta}_{ridge}$ is given by $\mathsf{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}) := \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}} - \theta] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_{p})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{v}] - \theta$ X $= \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{p})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})] - \boldsymbol{\theta}$ $= (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} + (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] - \boldsymbol{\theta}$ $= (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_{p})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\theta} - \mathbf{\theta}$ $= \left[(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} - (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \right] \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\theta}$

• Last expression shows bias of ridge estimator only vanishes for $\lambda=$ 0, which is simply (unbiased) OLS solution

• It follows
$$\|\text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{ridge}})\|_2^2 > 0$$
 for all $\lambda > 0$

BIAS-VARIANCE DECOMPOSITION FOR RIDGE / 2

For the variance of $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ridge}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}) &= \operatorname{Var}\left((\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} \right) & | \operatorname{apply} \operatorname{Var}_{u}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{A} \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{A}^{\top} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{y}) \left((\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \right)^{\top} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^{2} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

• Var
$$(\hat{\theta}_{ridge})$$
 is strictly smaller than Var $(\hat{\theta}_{OLS}) = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ for any $\lambda > 0$, meaning matrix of their difference Var $(\hat{\theta}_{OLS}) - Var(\hat{\theta}_{ridge})$ is positive definite (bit tedious derivation)

• This further means trace
$$(Var(\hat{\theta}_{OLS}) - Var(\hat{\theta}_{ridge})) > 0 \, \forall \lambda > 0$$

X

XX

BIAS-VARIANCE DECOMPOSITION FOR RIDGE / 3

Having obtained the bias and variance of the ridge estimator, we can decompose its mean squared error as follows:

 $\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{ heta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}) = \|\mathsf{Bias}(\hat{ heta}_{\mathsf{ridge}})\|_2^2 + \mathsf{trace}(\mathsf{Var}(\hat{ heta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}))$

Comparing MSEs of $\hat{\theta}_{\rm ridge}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{\rm OLS}$ and using ${\rm Bias}(\hat{\theta}_{\rm OLS})=$ 0 we find

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{OLS}}) - \mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}) = \underbrace{\mathsf{trace}\big(\mathsf{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{OLS}}) - \mathsf{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}})\big)}_{>0} - \underbrace{\|\mathsf{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}})\|_2^2}_{>0}$$

Since both terms are positive, sign of their diff is *a priori* undetermined. Theobald 1974 and Farebrother 1976 prove there always exists some $\lambda^* > 0$ so that

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{ heta}_{\mathsf{OLS}}) - \mathsf{MSE}(\hat{ heta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}) > 0$$

Important theoretical result: While Gauss-Markov guarantuees $\hat{\theta}_{OLS}$ is best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE), there are biased estimators with lower MSE.

x x

BIAS-VARIANCE IN PREDICTIONS FOR RIDGE

In supervised learning, our goal is typically not to learn an unknown parameter θ , but to learn a function $f(\mathbf{x})$ that can predict y given \mathbf{x} .

The bias and variance of predictions $\hat{f} := \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$ is obtained as:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Bias}(\hat{f}) &= \mathbb{E}[\hat{f} - f] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}]^\top \mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathsf{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}})^\top \mathbf{x} \\ \mathsf{Var}(\hat{f}) &= \mathsf{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}^\top \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathsf{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{ridge}}) \mathbf{x} \end{split}$$

The MSE of \hat{f} given a fresh sample (y, \mathbf{x}) can now be decomposed as

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{f}) = \mathbb{E}[(y - \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}))^2] = \mathsf{Bias}^2(\hat{f}) + \mathsf{Var}(\hat{f}) + \sigma^2$$

This decomposition is similar to the statistical inference setting before, however, the irreducible error σ^2 only appears for predictions as an artifact of the noise in the test sample.

