## **Introduction to Machine Learning**

# **Boosting Gradient Boosting: CWB Basics 2**





#### **Learning goals**

- Handling of categorical features
- Intercept handling
- **•** Practical example

#### **HANDLING OF CATEGORICAL FEATURES**

Feature *x<sup>j</sup>* with *G* categories. Two options for encoding:

One base learner to simultaneously estimate all categories:

$$
b_j(x_j|\theta_j) = \sum_{g=1}^G \theta_{j,g} 1\!\!1_{\{g=x_j\}} = (1\!\!1_{\{x_j=1\}},...,1\!\!1_{\{x_j=G\}})\theta_j
$$

Hence, *b<sup>j</sup>* incorporates a one-hot encoded feature with group means  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^G$  as estimators.

• One binary base learner per category:

$$
b_{j,g}(x_j|\theta_{j,g})=\theta_{j,g}1\!\!1_{\{g=x_j\}}
$$

Including all categories of the feature means adding *G* base learners  $b_{i,1}, \ldots, b_{i,G}$ 



#### **HANDLING OF CATEGORICAL FEATURES / 2**

Advantages of simultaneously handling all categories in CWB:

- Much faster estimation compared to using individual binary BLs
- Explicit solution of  $\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^G} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i$ *i*=1  $(y^{(i)} - b_j(x_j^{(i)})$  $j^{(1)}(\theta))^{2}$ :

$$
\hat{\theta}_g = n_g^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n y^{(i)} 1\!\!1_{\{x_j^{(i)}=g\}}
$$

For features with many categories we usually add a ridge penalty

#### **HANDLING OF CATEGORICAL FEATURES / 3**

Advantages of including categories individually in CWB:

- Enables finer selection since non-informative categories are simply not included in the model.
- $\epsilon$  Explicit solution of  $\hat{\theta}_{j,g} = \mathsf{arg} \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sum^{n}_{j=1}$ *i*=1  $(y^{(i)} - b_g(x_i^{(i)})$  $f_j^{(I)}|\theta)$ )<sup>2</sup> with:

$$
\hat{\theta}_{j,g} = n_g^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n y^{(i)} 1\!\!1_{\{x_j^{(i)}=g\}}
$$

Disadvantage of individually handling all categories in CWB:

- Fitting CWB is slower
- Penalization and selection become difficult since base learner has exactly one degree of freedom.

#### **INTERCEPT HANDLING**

There are two options to handle the intercept in CWB. In both, the loss-optimal constant *f* [0] (**x**) is an initial model intercept.

**1** Include an intercept BL:

- Add BL  $b_{\text{int}} = \theta$  as potential candidate considered in each iteration and remove intercept from all linear BLs, i.e.,  $b_i(\mathbf{x}) = \theta_i x_i$ .
- Final intercept is given as  $f^{[0]}(\mathbf{x}) + \hat{\theta}$ . Linear BLs without intercept only make sense if covariates are centered (see  $\rightarrow$  [Hofner et al. 2014](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mboost/vignettes/mboost_tutorial.pdf) tutorial, p. 7)
- **<sup>2</sup>** Include intercept in each linear BL and aggregate into global intercept post-hoc:
	- Assume linear base learners  $b_i(\mathbf{x}) = \theta_{i1} + \theta_{i2}x_i$ . If base learner  $\hat{b}_i$  with parameter  $\hat{\theta}^{[1]}=(\hat{\theta}^{[1]}_{j1},\hat{\theta}^{[1]}_{j1})$  is selected in first iteration, model intercept is updated to  $f^{[0]}(\mathbf{x})+\hat{\theta}^{[1]}_{j1}.$

During training, intercept is adjusted *M* times to yield  $f^{[0]}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum\limits_{m=1}^{M} \hat{\theta}_{j1}^{[m]}$ 



Consider the life expectancy data set (WHO, available on  $\cdot$  [Kumar 2019](https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kumarajarshi/life-expectancy-who)) : regression task to predict life expectancy.

We fit a CWB model with linear BLs (with intercept)



Using compboost with  $M = 150$  iterations, we can visualize which BL was selected when and how the estimated feature effects evolve over time.



 $\times$   $\times$ 



**XX** 



2000

2005

Year

2010

SWE **USA** 

- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals

Country

ETH GER ZAF **XX** 

© Introduction to Machine Learning – 6 / 6

2015



X X



Country

Country



# $\times$   $\times$

- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals



SWE **USA** 

Country

ETH GER ZAF  $\times$   $\times$ 

- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals

2000

2015

2010

2005



 $\times$   $\times$ 

- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals

Country



- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals



 $\times$   $\times$ 



X X



 $\times$   $\times$ 



 $\times$   $\times$ 



 $\times$   $\times$ 



- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals



- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals



 $\times$   $\times$ 



- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals



- Partial feature effect ---- Base learner fit to pseudo residuals