



# Optimization in Machine Learning

## First order methods

## Weaknesses of GD – Curvature




### Learning goals

- Effects of curvature
- Step size effect in GD


# REMINDER: LOCAL QUADRATIC GEOMETRY

Locally approximate smooth function by quadratic Taylor polynomial:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \nabla f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})^\top (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^\top \nabla^2 f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$$



Source: daniloroccatano.blog.






# REMINDER: LOCAL QUADRATIC GEOMETRY / 2

Study Hessian  $\mathbf{H} = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{[t]})$  in GD to discuss effect of curvature


**Recall** for quadratic forms:

- Eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_{\max}$  ( $\mathbf{v}_{\min}$ ) is direction of largest (smallest) curvature
- $\mathbf{H}$  called ill-conditioned if  $\kappa(\mathbf{H}) = |\lambda_{\max}|/|\lambda_{\min}|$  is large




# EFFECTS OF CURVATURE


Intuitively, curvature determines reliability of a GD step



Quadratic objective  $f$  (blue) with gradient approximation (dashed green).  
**Left:**  $f$  decreases faster than  $\nabla f$  predicts. **Center:**  $\nabla f$  predicts decrease correctly. **Right:**  $f$  decreases more slowly than  $\nabla f$  predicts.  
(Source: Goodfellow et al., 2016)



# EFFECTS OF CURVATURE / 2



# CURVATURE AND STEP SIZE IN GD

**Worst case:**  $\mathbf{H}$  is ill-conditioned. What does this mean for GD?

- Quadratic Taylor polynomial of  $f$  around  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  (with gradient  $\mathbf{g} = \nabla f$ )


$$f(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^\top \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$$

- GD step with step size  $\alpha > 0$  yields

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \alpha \mathbf{g}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}$$

- If  $\mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g} > 0$ , we can solve for optimal step size  $\alpha^*$ :



$$\alpha^* = \frac{\mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}}$$





# CURVATURE AND STEP SIZE IN GD / 3

- What if  $\mathbf{g}$  is not aligned with eigenvectors?
- Consider 2D case: Decompose  $\mathbf{g}$  (black) into  $\mathbf{v}_{\max}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\min}$



- Ideally, perform **large** step along  $\mathbf{v}_{\min}$  but **small** step along  $\mathbf{v}_{\max}$
- However, gradient almost only points along  $\mathbf{v}_{\max}$





## CURVATURE AND STEP SIZE IN GD / 5


- Large step sizes for ill-conditioned Hessian can even increase

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \alpha \mathbf{g}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}$$



if

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g} > \alpha \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{g} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha > 2 \frac{\mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}}.$$

- Ill-conditioning in practice: Monitor gradient norm and objective



# CURVATURE AND STEP SIZE IN GD / 6



Source: Goodfellow et al., 2016

# CURVATURE AND STEP SIZE IN GD / 7

- If gradient norms  $\|\mathbf{g}\|$  increase, GD is not converging since  $\mathbf{g} \neq 0$ .
- Even if  $\|\mathbf{g}\|$  increases, objective may stay approximately constant:

$$\underbrace{f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \alpha \mathbf{g})}_{\approx \text{constant}} \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha \underbrace{\mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{g}}_{\text{increases}} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \underbrace{\mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}}_{\text{increases}}$$

