
# **Optimization in Machine Learning**

First order methods
Weaknesses of GD – Curvature






#### Learning goals

- Effects of curvature
- Step size effect in GD

#### REMINDER: LOCAL QUADRATIC GEOMETRY

Locally approximate smooth function by quadratic Taylor polynomial:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \nabla f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})^{\top} (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^{\top} \nabla^2 f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$$



Source: daniloroccatano.blog.




#### **REMINDER: LOCAL QUADRATIC GEOMETRY /2**

Study Hessian  $\mathbf{H} = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{[t]})$  in GD to discuss effect of curvature

#### **Recall** for quadratic forms:


- $\bullet$  Eigenvector  $\textbf{v}_{\text{max}}$   $(\textbf{v}_{\text{min}})$  is direction of largest (smallest) curvature
- **H** called ill-conditioned if  $\kappa(\mathbf{H}) = |\lambda_{\max}|/|\lambda_{\min}|$  is large





#### **EFFECTS OF CURVATURE**

Intuitively, curvature determines reliability of a GD step





Quadratic objective f (blue) with gradient approximation (dashed green).

**Left:** f decreases faster than  $\nabla f$  predicts. **Center:**  $\nabla f$  predicts decrease

correctly. **Right:** f decreases more slowly than  $\nabla f$  predicts.

(Source: Goodfellow et al., 2016)

# **EFFECTS OF CURVATURE / 2**



Worst case: H is ill-conditioned. What does this mean for GD?

• Quadratic Taylor polynomial of f around  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  (with gradient  $\mathbf{g} = \nabla f$ )

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^{\top} \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^{\top} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$$

ullet GD step with step size  $\alpha >$  0 yields

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \alpha \mathbf{g}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}$$

• If  $\mathbf{g}^{\top} H \mathbf{g} > 0$ , we can solve for optimal step size  $\alpha^*$ :

$$\alpha^* = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}}$$



 $\bullet$  If g points along  $v_{\text{max}}$  (largest curvature), optimal step size is

$$\alpha^* = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{g}} = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{g}}{\lambda_{\max}\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{g}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}}.$$


- $\Rightarrow$  *Large* step sizes can be problematic.
- ullet If  $oldsymbol{g}$  points along  $oldsymbol{v}_{min}$  (smallest curvature), then analogously

$$\alpha^* = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}}.$$

- $\Rightarrow$  *Small* step sizes can be problematic.
- **Ideally**: Perform large step along  $v_{min}$  but small step along  $v_{max}$ .




- What if g is not aligned with eigenvectors?
- Consider 2D case: Decompose g (black) into v<sub>max</sub> and v<sub>min</sub>





- Ideally, perform large step along v<sub>min</sub> but small step along v<sub>max</sub>
- However, gradient almost only points along v<sub>max</sub>

- GD is not aware of curvatures and can only walk along g
- Large step sizes result in "zig-zag" behaviour.
- Small step sizes result in weak performance.



Poorly conditioned quadratic form. GD with large (red) and small (blue) step size. For both, convergence to optimum is slow.



• Large step sizes for ill-conditioned Hessian can even increase


$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \alpha \mathbf{g}) \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha \mathbf{g}^{\top} \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \mathbf{g}^{\top} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}$$

if

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{g}>\alpha\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{g}\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad\alpha>2\frac{\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{g}^{\top}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{g}}.$$

Ill-conditioning in practice: Monitor gradient norm and objective







Source: Goodfellow et al., 2016

- ullet If gradient norms  $\|\mathbf{g}\|$  increase, GD is not converging since  $\mathbf{g} \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- $\bullet$  Even if  $\|\boldsymbol{g}\|$  increases, objective may stay approximately constant:

$$\underbrace{f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \alpha \mathbf{g})}_{\approx \text{ constant}} \approx f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \alpha \underbrace{\mathbf{g}^{\top} \mathbf{g}}_{\text{increases}} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \underbrace{\mathbf{g}^{\top} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{g}}_{\text{increases}}$$

