Introduction to Machine Learning

Classification Logistic Regression

× 0 0 × × ×



Learning goals

- Hypothesis space of LR
- Log-Loss derivation
- Intuition for loss
- LR as linear classifier

MOTIVATION

- Let's build a **discriminant** approach, for binary classification, as a probabilistic classifier $\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$
- We encode $y \in \{0, 1\}$ and use ERM:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\Theta}{\arg\min} \mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{emp}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\Theta}{\arg\min} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}, \pi\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\right)$$

- We want to "copy" over ideas from linear regression
- In the above, our model structure should be "mainly" linear and we need a loss function

DIRECT LINEAR MODEL FOR PROBABILITIES

We could directly use an LM to model $\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$. And use L2 loss in ERM.





But: This obviously will result in predicted probabilities $\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \notin [0, 1]!$

HYPOTHESIS SPACE OF LR

To avoid this, logistic regression "squashes" the estimated linear scores $\theta^{\top} \mathbf{x}$ to [0, 1] through the **logistic function** *s*:

$$\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\exp\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right)}{1 + \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right)} = s\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right) = s(f(\mathbf{x}))$$



0 0 X X 0 X X

 \Rightarrow Hypothesis space of LR:

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \pi : \mathcal{X}
ightarrow [\mathsf{0},\mathsf{1}] \mid \pi(\mathbf{x} \mid oldsymbol{ heta}) = oldsymbol{s}(oldsymbol{ heta}^ op \mathbf{x}) \mid oldsymbol{ heta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}
ight\}$$

LOGISTIC FUNCTION

Intercept θ_0 shifts $\pi = s(\theta_0 + f) = \frac{\exp(\theta_0 + f)}{1 + \exp(\theta_0 + f)}$ horizontally 1.00 0.75 θ_0 £ 0.50 • 0 • 2 • -2 0.25 0.00 -5 -10 5 10 Scaling *f* like $s(\alpha f) = \frac{\exp(\alpha f)}{1 + \exp(\alpha f)}$ controls slope and direction 1.00 0.75 α. 0.4 (**j**) 0.50 -1 -5 0.25 0.00 -10 -5 5 10 0 f

× 0 0 × × 0 × × ×

THE LOGIT

The inverse $s^{-1}(\pi) = \log\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right)$ where π is a probability is called **logit** (also called **log odds** since it is equal to the logarithm of the odds $\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}$)





- Positive logits indicate probabilities > 0.5 and vice versa
- E.g.: if p = 0.75, odds are 3 : 1 and logit is $log(3) \approx 1.1$
- Features **x** act linearly on logits, controlled by coefficients θ :

$$s^{-1}(\pi(\mathbf{x})) = \log\left(\frac{\pi(\mathbf{x})}{1 - \pi(\mathbf{x})}\right) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}$$

DERIVING LOG-LOSS

We need to find a suitable loss function for **ERM**. We look at likelihood which multiplies up $\pi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} | \theta)$ for positive examples, and $1 - \pi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} | \theta)$ for negative.

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i \text{ with } y^{(i)}=1} \pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \prod_{i \text{ with } y^{(i)}=0} (1 - \pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right))$$

We can now cleverly combine the 2 cases by using exponents (note that only one of the 2 factors is not 1 and "active"):

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^{y^{(i)}} \left(1 - \pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right)^{1 - y^{(i)}}$$

× 0 0 × × ×

DERIVING LOG-LOSS / 2

Taking the log to convert products into sums:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^{y^{(i)}} \left(1 - \pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right)^{1-y^{(i)}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{(i)} \log \left(\pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right) + \left(1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left(1 - \pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right)$$

Since we want to minimize the risk, we work with the negative $\ell(\theta)$:

$$-\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -y^{(i)} \log \left(\pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right) - \left(1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left(1 - \pi \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right)$$

× 0 0 × × ×

BERNOULLI / LOG LOSS

The resulting loss

$$L(y, \pi) = -y \log(\pi) - (1 - y) \log(1 - \pi)$$

is called Bernoulli, binomial, log or cross-entropy loss



× 0 0 × × ×

- Penalizes confidently wrong predictions heavily
- Is used for many other classifiers, e.g., in NNs or boosting

LOGISTIC REGRESSION IN 2D

LR is a linear classifier, as $\pi(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = s(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x})$ and *s* is isotonic.



Х

XX

OPTIMIZATION

- Log-Loss is convex, under regularity conditions LR has a unique solution (because of its linear structure), but not an analytical one
- To fit LR we use numerical optimization, e.g., Newton-Raphson
- If data is linearly separable, the optimization problem is unbounded and we would not find a solution; way out is regularization
- Why not use least squares on π(x) = s(f(x))?
 Answer: ERM problem is not convex anymore :(
- We can also write the ERM as

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\Theta}{\arg\min} \mathcal{R}_{emp}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\Theta}{\arg\min} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(y^{(i)}, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\right)$$

With
$$f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x}$$
 and $L(y, f) = -yf + \log(1 + \exp(f))$

This combines the sigmoid with the loss and shows a convex loss directly on a linear function

× × 0 × × ×