Algorithms and Data Structures

Matrix Decomposition Overdetermined Systems & Regression Example

0 0 X X 0 X X



Learning goals

- Overdetermined systems
- Normal equations
- QR decomposition and ridge regression

OVERDETERMINED SYSTEMS

A system of linear equations Ax = b with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $m \ge n$ with more equations than unknowns, is called **overdetermined**.

In general such a system has no (exact) solution.

A (compromise) solution using **least squares** is the vector \mathbf{x} which minimizes the squared sum of the **residual vector** $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$:

$$\mathbf{x} = \arg\min \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

× 0 0 × × ×

EXAMPLE: THE REGRESSION MODEL

Aim: Solve $X\beta = y$ with

- X: $n \times (p + 1)$, Design matrix
- **y**: $n \times 1$, *n* observations
- β : $(p + 1) \times 1$, *p* regressors plus intercept

Since the linear system is usually overdetermined (more observations than variables) and has no solution, we minimize the residual sum of squares:

$$\min_{\beta} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$$

Questions: How can the problem be solved in a numerically stable way? Which algorithms are fast?

× < 0 × × ×

CONDITION OF NORMAL EQUATIONS

The solution of the optimization problem is (mathematically) equivalent to the solution of the **normal equation**

$$\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta} = \mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{y}$$

× × 0 × × ×

(Derivation: differentiate with respect to β and set to 0). If the matrix **X** has full column rank, then the matrix $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$ is symmetric positive-definite and the following holds

$$\kappa(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}) = \kappa(\mathbf{X})^2$$

using the spectral norm.

Consequently, the error amplification is $\kappa(\mathbf{X})^2$ when using normal equations.

CONDITION OF NORMAL EQUATIONS / 2

Note:

- Mathematically speaking, the solution of the normal equations is equivalent to the minimization of the residual sum of squares
- However, from a numerical point of view a distinction must be made between the two of them
- A solution using the normal equations requires the calculation of X^TX, an error in X is therefore amplified
- Better: Find an efficient method that operates directly on X

× 0 0 × 0 × × ×

Model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

Normal equations: $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$

If **X** is of full rank then $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$ is positive-definite and the Cholesky decomposition applicable.

- Calculate $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$,
- **2** Cholesky decomposition $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^{\top}$,
- **3** Solve $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$ for \mathbf{w} ,
- Calculate $RSS = \mathbf{y}^{\top}\mathbf{y} \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{w}$,
- Solve $\mathbf{L}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{w}$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$,
- $(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \mathbf{L}^{-\top}\mathbf{L}^{-1}.$

× 0 0 × 0 × ×

```
X = matrix(c(rep(1, 6), c(1.01, 1.01)), ncol = 2)
X
## [,1] [,2]
## [1,] 1 1.00
## [2,] 1 1.00
## [3,] 1 1.01
## [4,] 1 1.01
XX = t(X) %*% X
XX
## [,1] [,2]
## [1,] 4.00 4.020000000000
## [2,] 4.02 4.0402000000000
```

× 0 0 × 0 × ×

XX2 = round(XX, 3) XX2 ## [,1] [,2] ## [1,] 4.00 4.02 ## [2,] 4.02 4.04

cholesky(XX)
[,1] [,2]
[1,] 2.00 0.000000000000000
[2,] 2.01 0.0100000000007716

cholesky(XX2) ## [,1] [,2] ## [1,] 2.00 0 ## [2,] 2.01 NaN

 \Rightarrow Number of decimal digits matters, matrix no longer positive-definite!

× > 0 × ×

In general a solution using normal equations is to be avoided

$$\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{eta} = \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$$

since:

- High computational effort: First calculation of X^TX, then matrix decomposition of X^TX, then forward and back substitution
- **Numeric instability**: In all these individual steps there is a risk that errors will be amplified.

A further problem occurs if we want to solve the normal equations in case of **collinearity** in the design matrix **X**. The reason for this is the singularity of the product of $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$ which results from collinearity.

× × ×

It is often more suitable to operate directly on **X** by using QR decomposition $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{QR}$:

$$\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R})^{\top}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}^{\top}\mathbf{Q}^{\top}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^{\top}\mathbf{R}$$

The normal equations can then be written as

 $\mathbf{R}^{\top}\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{R}^{\top}\mathbf{Q}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$

and since \mathbf{R}^{\top} is nonsingular it follows

$$\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{eta} = \mathbf{Q}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$$

Since **R** is an upper triangular matrix, the equation system (after multiplying $\mathbf{Q}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$) can be solved using back substitution in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.



The steps to solve a linear regression problem using QR decomposition are therefore as follows:

- Calculate the QR decomposition $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{QR}$,
- **2** Calculate $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y}$,
- Solve the equation system $R\beta = z$ using back substitution.

× 0 0 × 0 × ×

Advantage of QR decomposition: As already stated, the solution when using QR decomposition can be written as

$$\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{eta} = \mathbf{Q}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$$

- **X** (and not $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$) is decomposed directly.
- **X**^T**X** does not have to be calculated (thus avoiding numerical instability from collinearity).
- If a stable algorithm is used to calculate the QR decomposition (e.g. Householder), the method is stable.
- Extreme runtime advantages if a regression is to be performed for constant design matrix **X**, but different **y**.

Note: For linear models lm() in R the QR decomposition is applied when calculating β .



QR DECOMPOSITION AND RIDGE REGRESSION

In order to avoid a high variance, large parameters are often penalized by a penalty term. We minimize a penalized version of the residual sum of squares

$$\min_{\beta} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\beta\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\beta\|_{2}^{2}$$

with regularization parameter $\lambda > 0$. If the L_2 norm is selected for the penalty, the procedure is known as **ridge regression**.

We obtain a general version of the normal equations by setting the first derivative to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{0}}$

$$\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{\beta} = \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$$

QR DECOMPOSITION AND RIDGE REGRESSION / 2

With $Aoneqq(X \ \sqrt{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p,p}$ the normal equations for ridge regression can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X} + \lambda I \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

× 0 0 × × ×

We use the QR decomposition of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda} \mathbf{R}_{\lambda}$ depending on λ :

$$\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{A}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{\lambda}^{\top} \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}^{\top} \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda} \mathbf{R}_{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{R}_{\lambda}^{\top} \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{\lambda}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{R}_{\lambda}^{\top} \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

QR DECOMPOSITION AND RIDGE REGRESSION / 3

- If \mathbf{R}_{λ} is singular, we have to solve two LES in echelon form
- If *R*_λ is nonsingular and thus invertible, the equation simplifies to one linear system in echelon form

The regularization parameter λ is a hyperparameter that must be selected by the user. Often the linear system has to be solved several times for different λ to find a sensible degree of regularization.

In such situations the QR decomposition

$$\mathbf{R}_{\lambda}^{\top}\mathbf{R}_{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{R}_{\lambda}^{\top}\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}^{\top}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{y}\\\mathbf{0}\end{pmatrix}$$

has to be calculated anew for each λ . The QR decomposition of the matrix **A** is calculated in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$. Forward and back substitution are operations of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so in total the runtime is given by $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

× < 0 × × ×

COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR REGRESSION

Method	Runtime	General Numerical Stability	Stability in Collinearity
Naive approach		no	no
LU	+ +	yes, with pivotisation	no
QR (Householder)	-	yes	yes



 \Rightarrow **Note:** QR decomposition is not the fastest method regarding runtime, but it is always numerically stable in a regression context.

COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR REGRESSION / 2



× 0 0 × × ×