ONLINE CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

• One of the most relevant instantiations of the online learning problem is the problem of *online convex optimization* (OCO), which is characterized by a loss function : $A \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex w.r.t. the action, i.e., $a \mapsto (a, z)$ is convex for any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$.

ONLINE CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

- One of the most relevant instantiations of the online learning problem is the problem of *online convex optimization* (OCO), which is characterized by a loss function : $A \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex w.r.t. the action, i.e., $a \mapsto (a, z)$ is convex for any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$.
- Note that both OLO and OQO belong to the class of online convex optimization problems:
	- *Online linear optimization (OLO) with convex action spaces:* $(a, z) = a^{\top} z$ is a convex function in $a \in \mathcal{A}$, provided \mathcal{A} is convex.
	- *Online quadratic optimization (OQO) with convex action spaces:* $(a, z) = \frac{1}{2} ||a - z||_2^2$ is a convex function in $a \in \mathcal{A}$, provided \mathcal{A} is convex.

X X

- \bullet We have seen that the FTRL algorithm with the γ norm regularization $\psi(\boldsymbol{a}) = \frac{1}{2\eta} \left| \left| \boldsymbol{a} \right| \right|_2^2$ achieves satisfactory results for online linear optimization (OLO) problems, that is, if $(a, z) = L^{\text{lin}}(a, z) := a^{\top}z$, then we have
	- *Fast updates* If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$
a_{t+1}^{FTRL} = a_t^{FTRL} - \eta z_t, \qquad t = 1, \ldots, T;
$$

• *Regret bounds* — By an appropriate choice of η and some (mild) assumptions on A and Z , we have

$$
R_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{FTRL}}=o(\mathcal{T}).
$$

X X

Apparently, the nice form of the loss function L^{lin} is responsible for the appealing properties of FTRL in this case. Indeed, since $\nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a,z) = z$ note that the update rule can be written as

$$
a_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = a_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta z_t = a_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t).
$$

Apparently, the nice form of the loss function L^{lin} is responsible for the appealing properties of FTRL in this case. Indeed, since $\nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a,z) = z$ note that the update rule can be written as

$$
a_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = a_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta z_t = a_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t).
$$

Interpretation: In each time step $t + 1$, we are following the direction with the steepest decrease of the loss (represented by −∇*L* lin(*a* FTRL *t* , *zt*)) from the current "position" $a_{t}^{\texttt{FFRL}}$ with the step size η

 $\times\overline{\times}$

Apparently, the nice form of the loss function L^{lin} is responsible for the appealing properties of FTRL in this case. Indeed, since $\nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a,z) = z$ note that the update rule can be written as

$$
a_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = a_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta z_t = a_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \nabla_a \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t).
$$

Interpretation: In each time step $t + 1$, we are following the direction with the steepest decrease of the loss (represented by −∇*L* lin(*a* FTRL *t* , *zt*)) from the current "position" $a_{t}^{\texttt{FFRL}}$ with the step size η

⇒ Gradient Descent.

K X

Question: How to transfer this idea of the Gradient Descent for the update formula to other loss functions, while still preserving the regret bounds?

X X X

- **Question:** How to transfer this idea of the Gradient Descent for the update formula to other loss functions, while still preserving the regret bounds?
- **Solution (for convex losses):** Recall the equivalent characterization of convexity of differentiable convex functions:

$$
f: S \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is convex } \Leftrightarrow f(y) \ge f(x) + (y - x)^{\top} \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow f(x) - f(y) \le (x - y)^{\top} \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S.
$$

- **Question:** How to transfer this idea of the Gradient Descent for the update formula to other loss functions, while still preserving the regret bounds?
- **Solution (for convex losses):** Recall the equivalent characterization of convexity of differentiable convex functions:

$$
f: S \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is convex } \Leftrightarrow f(y) \ge f(x) + (y - x)^{\top} \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow f(x) - f(y) \le (x - y)^{\top} \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S.
$$

• This means if we are dealing with a loss function : $A \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex and differentiable in its first argument (A) has also to be convex), then

$$
(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \le (a - \tilde{a})^{\top} \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.
$$

Reminder: $(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^{\top} \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$

- **Reminder:** $(a, z) (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a \tilde{a})^{\top} \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$
- \bullet Let z_1, \ldots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \ldots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

XX

• Reminder:
$$
(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.
$$

 \bullet Let z_1, \ldots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \ldots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$
R_T(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}) = \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t).
$$

• Reminder:
$$
(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^{\top} \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.
$$

 \bullet Let z_1, \ldots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \ldots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$
R_T(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}) = \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_t).
$$

 \times \times

Conclusion: The regret of a learner with respect to a differentiable and convex loss function is bounded by the regret corresponding to an online linear optimization problem with environmental data $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$.

• Reminder:
$$
(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^{\top} \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.
$$

 \bullet Let z_1, \ldots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \ldots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$
R_T(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}) = \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \nabla_{\mathbf{a}}(a_t, z_t)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \widetilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^{\top} \widetilde{z}_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}^{\top} \widetilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \widetilde{z}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, \widetilde{z}_t)
$$

 \times \times

Conclusion: The regret of a learner with respect to a differentiable and convex loss function is bounded by the regret corresponding to an online linear optimization problem with environmental data $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$.

We know: Online linear optimization problems can be tackled by means of the FTRL algorithm!

lin(*a*˜, *^z*˜*t*).

• Reminder:
$$
(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^{\top} \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.
$$

 \bullet Let z_1, \ldots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \ldots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$
R_T(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}) = \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \nabla_{\mathbf{a}}(a_t, z_t)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \widetilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^{\top} \widetilde{z}_t - \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}^{\top} \widetilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \widetilde{z}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}, \widetilde{z}_t)
$$

 \times \times

Conclusion: The regret of a learner with respect to a differentiable and convex loss function is bounded by the regret corresponding to an online linear optimization problem with environmental data $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$.

- **We know:** Online linear optimization problems can be tackled by means of the FTRL algorithm!
- \rightsquigarrow Incorporate the substitution $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ into the update formula of FTRL with squared L2-norm regularization.

lin(*a*˜, *^z*˜*t*).

• The corresponding algorithm which chooses its action according to these considerations is called the *Online Gradient Descent* (OGD) algorithm with step size $\eta > 0$. It holds in particular,

$$
a_{t+1}^{000} = a_t^{000} - \eta \nabla_a (a_t^{000}, z_t), \quad t = 1, \dots T.
$$
 (1)

(Technical side note: For this update formula we assume that $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{I\!R}^d$. Moreover, the first action $a_1^{\rm QGD}$ is arbitrary.)

• The corresponding algorithm which chooses its action according to these considerations is called the *Online Gradient Descent* (OGD) algorithm with step size $\eta > 0$. It holds in particular,

$$
a_{t+1}^{000} = a_t^{000} - \eta \nabla_a (a_t^{000}, z_t), \quad t = 1, \dots T.
$$
 (1)

(Technical side note: For this update formula we assume that $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{I\!R}^d$. Moreover, the first action $a_1^{\rm QGD}$ is arbitrary.)

- We have the following connection between FTRL and OGD:
	- Let $\tilde{z}_t^{\text{0GB}} := \nabla_a(a_t^{\text{0GB}}, z_t)$ for any $t = 1, \ldots, T$.
	- The update formula for FTRL with γ norm regularization for the linear loss L^{lin} and the environmental data \tilde{z}^{pGD}_t is

$$
a^{\texttt{FFRL}}_{t+1} = a^{\texttt{FFRL}}_t - \eta \tilde{z}^{\texttt{OGD}}_t = a^{\texttt{FFRL}}_t - \eta \nabla_a(a^{\texttt{OGD}}_t, z_t).
$$

If we have that $a_1^{\text{FTRL}} = a_1^{\text{06D}}$, then it iteratively follows that $a_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = a_{t+1}^{\text{00D}}$ for any $t = 1, \ldots, T$ in this case.

 $\times\overline{\times}$

With the deliberations above we can infer that

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T},}^{\text{OGD}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}} \mid (\textbf{z}_t)_t) &= \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \big(a_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t) - (\tilde{\textbf{a}}, z_t) \\ & \leq \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{OGD}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ & (\text{if } a_1^{\text{OGD}} = a_1^{\text{FTRL}}) \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{FTRL}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}} }^{\text{FTRL}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}} \mid (\tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}})_t), \end{aligned}
$$

where we write in the subscripts of the regret the corresponding loss function and also include the corresponding environmental data as a second argument in order to emphasize the connections.

With the deliberations above we can infer that

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T},}^{\text{OGD}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}} \mid (\textbf{z}_t)_t) &= \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \big(a_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t) - (\tilde{\textbf{a}}, z_t) \\ & \leq \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{OGD}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ & (\text{if } a_1^{\text{OGD}} = a_1^{\text{FTRL}}) \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{FTRL}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{lin}} }^{\text{FTRL}}(\tilde{\textbf{a}} \mid (\tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}})_t), \end{aligned}
$$

where we write in the subscripts of the regret the corresponding loss function and also include the corresponding environmental data as a second argument in order to emphasize the connections.

• *Interpretation:* The regret of the FTRL algorithm (with ₂ norm regularization) for the online linear optimization problem (characterized by the linear loss L^{lin}) with environmental data $\tilde{z}_t^{\text{0@}}$ is an upper bound for the OGD algorithm for the online convex problem (characterized by a differentiable convex loss) with the original environmental data *z^t* .

- Due to this connection we immediately obtain a similar decomposition of the regret upper bound into a bias term and a variance term as for the FTRL algorithm for OLO problems.
- **Corollary.** Using the OGD algorithm on any online convex optimization problem (with differentiable loss function) leads to a regret of OGD with respect to any action $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ of

$$
R_T^{\text{QGD}}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} ||\tilde{\mathbf{a}}||_2^2 + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T ||\tilde{z}_t^{\text{QGD}}||_2^2
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2\eta} ||\tilde{\mathbf{a}}||_2^2 + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T ||\nabla_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{QGD}}, z_t)||_2^2.
$$

- Due to this connection we immediately obtain a similar decomposition of the regret upper bound into a bias term and a variance term as for the FTRL algorithm for OLO problems.
- **Corollary.** Using the OGD algorithm on any online convex optimization problem (with differentiable loss function) leads to a regret of OGD with respect to any action $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ of

$$
R_T^{\text{QGD}}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} ||\tilde{\mathbf{a}}||_2^2 + \eta \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^T \left| \left| \tilde{z}_t^{\text{QGD}} \right| \right|_2^2
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2\eta} ||\tilde{\mathbf{a}}||_2^2 + \eta \sum\nolimits_{t=1}^T \left| \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{QGD}}, \mathbf{z}_t) \right| \right|_2^2
$$

• Note that the step size $\eta > 0$ of OGD has the same role as the regularization magnitude of FTRL: It should balance the trade-off between the bias- and the variance-term.

X X

.

As a consequence, we can also derive a similar order of the regret for the OGD algorithm on OCO problems as for the FTRL on OLO problems by imposing a slightly different assumption on the (new) "variance" term $\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\nabla_{a}(a_{t}^{\text{OGD}}, z_{t})||_{2}^{2}.$

- As a consequence, we can also derive a similar order of the regret for the OGD algorithm on OCO problems as for the FTRL on OLO problems by imposing a slightly different assumption on the (new) "variance" term $\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\nabla_{a}(a_{t}^{\text{OGD}}, z_{t})||_{2}^{2}.$
- **Corollary:** Suppose we use the OGD algorithm on an online convex optimization problem with a convex action space $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ such that
	- sup˜*a*∈A ||*a*˜||² ≤ *B* for some finite constant *B* > 0
	- \bullet sup_{*a∈A,z∈Z* $||\nabla_a(a, z)||_2 \leq V$ for some finite constant $V > 0$.}

Then, by choosing the step size η for OGD as $\eta = \frac{B}{\mu}$ $\frac{B}{V\sqrt{2.7}}$ we get

$$
R_T^{0GD} \leq BV\sqrt{2\,T}.
$$

 $\overline{}$

REGRET LOWER BOUNDS FOR OCO

• Theorem. For any online learning algorithm there exists an online convex optimization problem characterized by a convex loss function , a bounded (convex) action space $\mathcal{A} = [-\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}]^d$ and bounded gradients $\sup_{a \in A, z \in \mathcal{Z}} || \nabla_a(a, z) ||_2 \leq V$ for some finite constants $B, V > 0$, such $\sup_{a \in A, z \in \mathbb{Z}} || \cdot a(a, z)||_2 \leq \cdots$ for some lime constants B, \sqrt{z}
that the algorithm incurs a regret of $\Omega(\sqrt{T})$ in the worst case.

(X

REGRET LOWER BOUNDS FOR OCO

- **Theorem.** For any online learning algorithm there exists an online convex optimization problem characterized by a convex loss function , a bounded (convex) action space $\mathcal{A} = [-\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}]^d$ and bounded gradients $\sup_{a \in A, z \in \mathcal{Z}} ||\nabla_a(a, z)||_2 \leq V$ for some finite constants $B, V > 0$, such $\sup_{a \in A, z \in \mathbb{Z}} || \cdot a(a, z)||_2 \leq \cdots$ for some lime constants B, \sqrt{z}
that the algorithm incurs a regret of $\Omega(\sqrt{T})$ in the worst case.
- Recall that under (almost) the same assumptions as the theorem above, Fiecall that under (almost)
we have $R_T^{00D} \le BV\sqrt{2T}$.

REGRET LOWER BOUNDS FOR OCO

- **Theorem.** For any online learning algorithm there exists an online convex optimization problem characterized by a convex loss function , a bounded (convex) action space $\mathcal{A} = [-\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}]^d$ and bounded gradients $\sup_{a \in A, z \in \mathcal{Z}} ||\nabla_a(a, z)||_2 \leq V$ for some finite constants $B, V > 0$, such $\sup_{a \in A, z \in \mathbb{Z}} || \cdot a(a, z)||_2 \leq \cdots$ for some lime constants B, \sqrt{z}
that the algorithm incurs a regret of $\Omega(\sqrt{T})$ in the worst case.
- Recall that under (almost) the same assumptions as the theorem above, Fiecall that under (almost)
we have $R_T^{00D} \le BV\sqrt{2T}$.
- ⇝ This result shows that the Online Gradient Descent is *optimal* regarding its order of its regret with respect to the time horizon *T*.

OX \overline{O} $\overline{\mathsf{x}}$ \overline{O} \overline{x}

[Outlook](#page-26-0)

ONLINE MACHINE LEARNING: OUTLOOK

Online machine learning is a very large field of research.

X $\times\overline{\times}$

Figure: Hoi et al. (2018), "Online Learning: A Comprehensive Survey".