



ONLINE CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

- One of the most relevant instantiations of the online learning problem is the problem of *online convex optimization* (OCO), which is characterized by a loss function $\ell : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex w.r.t. the action, i.e., $a \mapsto \ell(a, z)$ is convex for any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$.



ONLINE CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

- One of the most relevant instantiations of the online learning problem is the problem of *online convex optimization* (OCO), which is characterized by a loss function $\ell : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex w.r.t. the action, i.e., $a \mapsto \ell(a, z)$ is convex for any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$.
- Note that both OLO and OQO belong to the class of online convex optimization problems:
 - *Online linear optimization (OLO) with convex action spaces:*
 $\ell(a, z) = a^\top z$ is a convex function in $a \in \mathcal{A}$, provided \mathcal{A} is convex.
 - *Online quadratic optimization (OQO) with convex action spaces:*
 $\ell(a, z) = \frac{1}{2} \|a - z\|_2^2$ is a convex function in $a \in \mathcal{A}$, provided \mathcal{A} is convex.



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION


- We have seen that the FTRL algorithm with the ℓ_2 norm regularization $\psi(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2$ achieves satisfactory results for online linear optimization (OLO) problems, that is, if $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) = L^{\text{lin}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) := \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{z}$, then we have

- *Fast updates* — If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$\mathbf{a}_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \mathbf{z}_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T;$$

- *Regret bounds* — By an appropriate choice of η and some (mild) assumptions on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{Z} , we have


$$R_T^{\text{FTRL}} = o(T).$$



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

Apparently, the nice form of the loss function L^{lin} is responsible for the appealing properties of FTRL in this case. Indeed, since $\nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a, z) = z$ note that the update rule can be written as

$$\mathbf{a}_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta z_t = \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t).$$




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

Apparently, the nice form of the loss function L^{lin} is responsible for the appealing properties of FTRL in this case. Indeed, since $\nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a, z) = z$ note that the update rule can be written as

$$\hat{a}_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = \hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta z_t = \hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(\hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t).$$

Interpretation: In each time step $t + 1$, we are following the direction with the steepest decrease of the loss (represented by $-\nabla L^{\text{lin}}(\hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t)$) from the current "position" \hat{a}_t^{FTRL} with the step size η




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION


Apparently, the nice form of the loss function L^{lin} is responsible for the appealing properties of FTRL in this case. Indeed, since $\nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(a, z) = z$ note that the update rule can be written as

$$\hat{a}_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = \hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta z_t = \hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \nabla_a L^{\text{lin}}(\hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t).$$

Interpretation: In each time step $t + 1$, we are following the direction with the steepest decrease of the loss (represented by $-\nabla L^{\text{lin}}(\hat{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}}, z_t)$) from the current "position" \hat{a}_t^{FTRL} with the step size η




⇒ Gradient Descent.



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION


- **Question:** How to transfer this idea of the Gradient Descent for the update formula to other loss functions, while still preserving the regret bounds?



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

- **Question:** How to transfer this idea of the Gradient Descent for the update formula to other loss functions, while still preserving the regret bounds?
- **Solution (for convex losses):** Recall the equivalent characterization of convexity of differentiable convex functions:

$$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is convex} \Leftrightarrow f(y) \geq f(x) + (y - x)^\top \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S$$
$$\Leftrightarrow f(x) - f(y) \leq (x - y)^\top \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S.$$




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

- **Question:** How to transfer this idea of the Gradient Descent for the update formula to other loss functions, while still preserving the regret bounds?
- **Solution (for convex losses):** Recall the equivalent characterization of convexity of differentiable convex functions:

$$f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is convex} \Leftrightarrow f(y) \geq f(x) + (y - x)^\top \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S$$
$$\Leftrightarrow f(x) - f(y) \leq (x - y)^\top \nabla f(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in S.$$


- This means if we are dealing with a loss function $l : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex and differentiable in its first argument (\mathcal{A} has also to be convex), then

$$l(a, z) - l(\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a l(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

- **Reminder:** $(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION


- **Reminder:** $(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$
- Let z_1, \dots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \dots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

- **Reminder:** $(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$
- Let z_1, \dots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \dots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_T(\tilde{a}) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\tilde{a}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a_t, z_t) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^\top \tilde{z}_t - \tilde{a}^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \tilde{z}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t). \end{aligned}$$




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

- **Reminder:** $(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$
- Let z_1, \dots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \dots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_T(\tilde{a}) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\tilde{a}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a_t, z_t) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^\top \tilde{z}_t - \tilde{a}^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \tilde{z}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: The regret of a learner with respect to a differentiable and convex loss function is bounded by the regret corresponding to an online linear optimization problem with environmental data $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$.




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

- **Reminder:** $(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$
- Let z_1, \dots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \dots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_T(\tilde{a}) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\tilde{a}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a_t, z_t) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^\top \tilde{z}_t - \tilde{a}^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \tilde{z}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: The regret of a learner with respect to a differentiable and convex loss function is bounded by the regret corresponding to an online linear optimization problem with environmental data $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$.

- **We know:** Online linear optimization problems can be tackled by means of the FTRL algorithm!




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: MOTIVATION

- **Reminder:** $(a, z) - (\tilde{a}, z) \leq (a - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a, z), \quad \forall a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}.$
- Let z_1, \dots, z_T arbitrary environmental data and a_1, \dots, a_T be some arbitrary action sequence. Substitute $\tilde{z}_t := \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_T(\tilde{a}) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t, z_t) - (\tilde{a}, z_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \nabla_a(a_t, z_t) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t - \tilde{a})^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^\top \tilde{z}_t - \tilde{a}^\top \tilde{z}_t = \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t, \tilde{z}_t) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: The regret of a learner with respect to a differentiable and convex loss function is bounded by the regret corresponding to an online linear optimization problem with environmental data $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$.

- **We know:** Online linear optimization problems can be tackled by means of the FTRL algorithm!
- ↪ Incorporate the substitution $\tilde{z}_t = \nabla_a(a_t, z_t)$ into the update formula of FTRL with squared L2-norm regularization.




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES

- The corresponding algorithm which chooses its action according to these considerations is called the *Online Gradient Descent* (OGD) algorithm with step size $\eta > 0$. It holds in particular,

$$\mathbf{a}_{t+1}^{\text{OGD}} = \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{OGD}} - \eta \nabla_a(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t), \quad t = 1, \dots, T. \quad (1)$$

(Technical side note: For this update formula we assume that $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, the first action $\mathbf{a}_1^{\text{OGD}}$ is arbitrary.)



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES

- The corresponding algorithm which chooses its action according to these considerations is called the *Online Gradient Descent* (OGD) algorithm with step size $\eta > 0$. It holds in particular,


$$\mathbf{a}_{t+1}^{\text{OGD}} = \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{OGD}} - \eta \nabla_a(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t), \quad t = 1, \dots, T. \quad (1)$$

(Technical side note: For this update formula we assume that $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, the first action $\mathbf{a}_1^{\text{OGD}}$ is arbitrary.)

- We have the following connection between FTRL and OGD:
 - Let $\tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}} := \nabla_a(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t)$ for any $t = 1, \dots, T$.
 - The update formula for FTRL with ℓ_2 norm regularization for the linear loss L^{lin} and the environmental data \tilde{z}_t^{OGD} is

$$\mathbf{a}_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}} = \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{FTRL}} - \eta \nabla_a(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t).$$

- If we have that $\mathbf{a}_1^{\text{FTRL}} = \mathbf{a}_1^{\text{OGD}}$, then it iteratively follows that $\mathbf{a}_{t+1}^{\text{FTRL}} = \mathbf{a}_{t+1}^{\text{OGD}}$ for any $t = 1, \dots, T$ in this case.




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES

- With the deliberations above we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned}R_T^{\text{OGD}}(\tilde{a} \mid (z_t)_t) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t) - (\tilde{a}, z_t) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{OGD}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ &\quad (\text{if } a_1^{\text{OGD}} = a_1^{\text{FTRL}}) \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{FTRL}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ &= R_{T, L^{\text{lin}}}^{\text{FTRL}}(\tilde{a} \mid (\tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}})_t),\end{aligned}$$

where we write in the subscripts of the regret the corresponding loss function and also include the corresponding environmental data as a second argument in order to emphasize the connections.




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES

- With the deliberations above we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{T, L^{\text{lin}}}^{\text{OGD}}(\tilde{a} | (z_t)_t) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (a_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t) - (\tilde{a}, z_t) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{OGD}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ &\quad (\text{if } a_1^{\text{OGD}} = a_1^{\text{FTRL}}) \sum_{t=1}^T L^{\text{lin}}(a_t^{\text{FTRL}}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) - L^{\text{lin}}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}) \\ &= R_{T, L^{\text{lin}}}^{\text{FTRL}}(\tilde{a} | (\tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}})_t), \end{aligned}$$

where we write in the subscripts of the regret the corresponding loss function and also include the corresponding environmental data as a second argument in order to emphasize the connections.


- *Interpretation:* The regret of the FTRL algorithm (with ℓ_2 norm regularization) for the online linear optimization problem (characterized by the linear loss L^{lin}) with environmental data \tilde{z}_t^{OGD} is an upper bound for the OGD algorithm for the online convex problem (characterized by a differentiable convex loss) with the original environmental data z_t .



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: REGRET

- Due to this connection we immediately obtain a similar decomposition of the regret upper bound into a bias term and a variance term as for the FTRL algorithm for OLO problems.
- **Corollary.** Using the OGD algorithm on any online convex optimization problem (with differentiable loss function) leads to a regret of OGD with respect to any action $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ of

$$\begin{aligned} R_T^{\text{OGD}}(\tilde{a}) &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\tilde{a}\|_2^2 + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \|\tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\tilde{a}\|_2^2 + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \|\nabla_a(\tilde{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$




ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: REGRET

- Due to this connection we immediately obtain a similar decomposition of the regret upper bound into a bias term and a variance term as for the FTRL algorithm for OLO problems.
- **Corollary.** Using the OGD algorithm on any online convex optimization problem (with differentiable loss function) leads to a regret of OGD with respect to any action $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ of

$$\begin{aligned} R_T^{\text{OGD}}(\tilde{a}) &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\tilde{a}\|_2^2 + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \|\tilde{z}_t^{\text{OGD}}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\tilde{a}\|_2^2 + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \|\nabla_a(\tilde{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$


- Note that the step size $\eta > 0$ of OGD has the same role as the regularization magnitude of FTRL: It should balance the trade-off between the bias- and the variance-term.



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: REGRET

- As a consequence, we can also derive a similar order of the regret for the OGD algorithm on OCO problems as for the FTRL on OLO problems by imposing a slightly different assumption on the (new) “variance” term

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \|\nabla_a(\hat{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t)\|_2^2.$$



ONLINE GRADIENT DESCENT: REGRET


- As a consequence, we can also derive a similar order of the regret for the OGD algorithm on OCO problems as for the FTRL on OLO problems by imposing a slightly different assumption on the (new) “variance” term $\sum_{t=1}^T \|\nabla_a(\tilde{a}_t^{\text{OGD}}, z_t)\|_2^2$.

- Corollary:** Suppose we use the OGD algorithm on an online convex optimization problem with a convex action space $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

- $\sup_{\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \|\tilde{a}\|_2 \leq B$ for some finite constant $B > 0$
- $\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|\nabla_a(a, z)\|_2 \leq V$ for some finite constant $V > 0$.


Then, by choosing the step size η for OGD as $\eta = \frac{B}{V\sqrt{2T}}$ we get

$$R_T^{\text{OGD}} \leq BV\sqrt{2T}.$$




REGRET LOWER BOUNDS FOR OCO

- **Theorem.** For any online learning algorithm there exists an online convex optimization problem characterized by a convex loss function ℓ , a bounded (convex) action space $\mathcal{A} = [-B, B]^d$ and bounded gradients $\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|\nabla_a \ell(a, z)\|_2 \leq V$ for some finite constants $B, V > 0$, such that the algorithm incurs a regret of $\Omega(\sqrt{T})$ in the worst case.




REGRET LOWER BOUNDS FOR OCO

- **Theorem.** For any online learning algorithm there exists an online convex optimization problem characterized by a convex loss function ℓ , a bounded (convex) action space $\mathcal{A} = [-B, B]^d$ and bounded gradients $\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|\nabla_a \ell(a, z)\|_2 \leq V$ for some finite constants $B, V > 0$, such that the algorithm incurs a regret of $\Omega(\sqrt{T})$ in the worst case.
- Recall that under (almost) the same assumptions as the theorem above, we have $R_T^{\text{OGD}} \leq BV\sqrt{2T}$.




REGRET LOWER BOUNDS FOR OCO

- **Theorem.** For any online learning algorithm there exists an online convex optimization problem characterized by a convex loss function ℓ , a bounded (convex) action space $\mathcal{A} = [-B, B]^d$ and bounded gradients $\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|\nabla_a \ell(a, z)\|_2 \leq V$ for some finite constants $B, V > 0$, such that the algorithm incurs a regret of $\Omega(\sqrt{T})$ in the worst case.
 - Recall that under (almost) the same assumptions as the theorem above, we have $R_T^{\text{OGD}} \leq BV\sqrt{2T}$.
- ↪ This result shows that the Online Gradient Descent is *optimal* regarding its order of its regret with respect to the time horizon T .




Outlook



ONLINE MACHINE LEARNING: OUTLOOK

Online machine learning is a very large field of research.



Online Learning					
Statistical Learning Theory		Convex Optimization Theory		Game Theory	
Online Learning with Full Feedback			Online Learning with Partial Feedback (Bandits)		
Online Supervised Learning			Stochastic Bandit		Adversarial Bandit
First-order Online Learning	Online Learning with Regularization		Stochastic Multi-armed Bandit		Adversarial Multi-armed Bandit
Second-order Online Learning	Online Learning with Kernels		Bayesian Bandit		Combinatorial Bandit
Prediction with Expert Advice	Online to Batch Conversion		Stochastic Contextual Bandit		Adversarial Contextual Bandit
Applied Online Learning			Online Active Learning		Online Semi-supervised Learning
Cost-Sensitive Online Learning	Online Collaborative Filtering		Selective Sampling		Online Manifold Regularization
Online Multi-task Learning	Online Learning to Rank		Active Learning with Expert Advice		Transductive Online Learning
Online Multi-view Learning	Distributed Online Learning		Online Unsupervised Learning (no feedback)		
Online Transfer Learning	Online Learning with Neural Networks		Online Clustering		Online Density Estimation
Online Metric Learning	Online Portfolio Selection		Online Dimension Reduction		Online Anomaly Detection

Figure: Hoi et al. (2018), "Online Learning: A Comprehensive Survey".